British Nationalist
FOR RACE AND NATION
OCTOBER 1990
25p

WE'RE BACK!

Latest poll results show nationalism returning as a popular force in Britain

ON AUGUST 30th, Ken Walsh, Standing as a candidate for the British National Party in a by-election to Tower Hamlets Borough Council in East London, obtained 275 votes, which amounted to 12.1 per-cent of the poll, in the process beating the Tory candidate out of sight. This event, although perhaps of small significance as national news, was of vast significance as an indication of a triumphant come-back by British Nationalism in terms of popular support.

After the disappointing results in the General Election of 1979, there followed a decade of drought in the way of support at the polls for nationalist candidates — due largely, no doubt, to popular belief that the Tories under Mrs. Thatcher would tackle the issues which previously induced many people to vote nationalist. Now, eleven years on, there are at last signs that the drought is ending and nationalism is coming back into favour with British electors. The manifest failure, and glaring betrayals, of the Thatcher Government undoubtedly have played a part in this. In the new nationalist resurgence the British National Party has emerged to play the leading role.

After years of 1 per-cents and 2 per-cents for nationalists in both parliamentary and municipal elections, the BNP began the come-back earlier this year when it scored significantly in some wards in East and South London in the May elections to borough councils in the capital. The best of these results was achieved by **Steve Smith** in the Trinty Ward of Tower Hamlets, where he polled 290 votes and bagged 9.7 per-cent of the poll. Also praiseworthy was the effort of **Steve Tyler**, standing in the Rotherhithe Ward of Bermondsey, who won 180 votes (or 7 per-cent of the poll).

Later a by-election occurred in the Park Ward of Tower Hamlets. The BNP, again putting up **Steve Smith** as a candidate, entered the contest. The ward represented much less favourable territory for the party than did Holy Trinity. Nevertheless, Steve Smith came not so far from achieving his Holy Trinity result in getting 130 votes, which in a smaller area came to 8.4 per-cent of the poll.

BOMBSHELL

But the real bombshell came in the by-election referred to at the beginning of this report, when **Ken Walsh**, standing for the BNP, topped 12 per-cent. This in fact tipped the election scales in favour of Labour, who got in as a result of so many former Liberal Democrat votes going to the BNP. The Liberals had for some time spoofed the electorate by adopting what seemed to be a mild 'racist' platform. By now, however, large numbers of electors had come to see though the pretence and they deserted the Liberals for the nationalists, who in fact represented the only hope for the white people in the area.

Although pleased to have won the ward, Labour was aghast at the BNP vote. Said the *Hackney Gazette*, commenting on the result: "...the Labour celebrations were marred by the news that the right-wing British National Party recorded one of their best-ever election performances."

The same paper reported local Labour leader Phil Maxwell saying of the BNP vote: "It's very disturbing. This is a worrying trend that has got to be dealt with."

We must of course keep our feet on the ground. The 12 per-cent BNP vote in Tower Hamlets is still some way from the sizes of vote that the most successful nationalists were getting in the middle-to-late 1970s, and of course it is a long way from

SPEARHEADING THE NATIONALIST COME-BACK BNP Tower Hamlets candidates Ken Walsh (left) and Steve Smith (right)

Thatcher dream falls apart as Britain heads for slump

THE BRITISH ECONOMY is headed for one of the worst recessions for many years. This was the forecast in a report issued last month by the Confederation of British Industry, which constituted a damning indictment of the policies of the Thatcher Government.

The CBI, traditionally a pro-Tory organisation representing the employers' interest in industry, predicted little but doom and gloom for this country in the coming decade. On the one hand, there is no sign of any abatement of the continuing balance-of-payments crises, as imports go on flooding into Britain at a far higher rate than we are able to export. On the other hand, industry at home is being faced with crippling handicaps as interest rates make it quite impossible for it to be competitive in the international trade free-for-all to which the Government has committed us.



Symptom of the coming depression: a shipyard stands idle

One of the alarming symptoms of the decline is that bankruptcies in Britain are running at their highest level for half a century, with an estimated 10,000 jobs a month being lost from the manufacturing sector.

This news blows to smithereens the claims that have been made by Mrs. Thatcher's supporters throughout the 1980s that her policies are regenerating the British economy and bringing new life to industry. Through one failure after another in many sectors of national affairs, the Government's apologists have continued to maintain that in the economy at least Mrs. T. has had some notable successes. But now the truth is coming out, and the Tories' appalling record can no longer be concealed by propaganda and bluff. When such a Tory loyalist body as the CBI acknowledges that Britain under a Tory administration is in a hell of an economic mess, you can bet that it is!

Typical of the comments now being made about the Government's performance was that of the head of a Tyneside food-packing company which, after enjoying a run of success in a period of great difficulty for British firms, now stands to make big losses in the present year due to the ludicrously high interest rates being imposed by Chancellor John Major. "I'm not a socialist," he said, "but this government needs to be replaced — it is totally incompetent."

THE ECONOMY: WHAT MUST BE DONE (See page 4)

British
Nationalist
No. 104 OCTOBER 1990
Editor: John Morse

UNMENTIONABLE FACTS

OUR established mass media endlessly preen themselves on their commitment to defending what they call "the public's right to know." This is a boast whose hollowness this paper has repeatedly exposed. Amid their loud-mouthed bragging, the masters of our media are exploiting their monopoly of the most powerful channels of mass communication for purposes directly opposite to what they claim. In fact they are almost openly conspiring to make sure that some of the most crucial facts affecting our society are kept well-hidden from the eye of the British public.

Nothing has ever before happened to this country to compare with the mass Afro-Asian immigration of the post-World War II period. It is not too much to say that this unprecedented 'silent invasion' threatens us quite literally with extinction.

Before all else, a nation is its people, and Britain is in no way different in this respect from other nations. If her own folk are swamped by aliens brought to her soil from the ends of the earth, it is unthinkable that anything recognisable as Britain will survive.

For this reason, we hold that the advent of multi-racialism in our land constitutes the greatest act of treason in all our history.

Yet never has any threat to Britain been met with such feebleness, or worse, both from her rulers and from so many of her people.

Not the least factor in this has been the behaviour of the nation's mass media.

Time and again, we have taken the spineless, if not traitorous, editors of Britain's leading newspapers to task for knuckling under to the pressures of the so-called 'Press Council' (customarily headed by such people as Zelman Cowan and Louis Blom-Cooper), which is supposed to uphold standards of integrity in the newspaper world. These gentlemen have demanded the suppression of facts that might reflect unfavourably on racial minorities. Instead of telling the likes of Cowan and Blom-Cooper to get lost, these editors tamely gave in to them. Last December they put their names to a 'Code of Conduct' one of whose provisions laid it down that in the reporting of crimes and other unpleasant news facts of race, colour or creed would in future be covered up.

Writing with rare honesty for a newspaperman, The Mail on Sunday journalist Richard Heller commented that all facts are relevant. Opposing the Press Council's browbeating of editors following a black riot in Wolverhampton in 1989 that had, by and large, been given the silent treatment in the national media or reported so as to conceal the race of the rioters, Heller said that this kind of reporting "did no service to the needs of an open society." He added that society as a whole needed to "possess every bit of information about every single piece of news which affects its future."

We entirely agree. Suppression of the truth where racial matters are concerned can only serve to create in the minds of our people a wholly false picture of the type of society in which they are now being forced to live. From the standpoint of Britain's survival, to go on living such a lie could turn out to be deadly.

The Gulf conflict: not Britain's quarrel

STUPIFYING HYSTERIA followed the seizure of the tiny oil-rich Gulf Emirate of Kuwait by Iraqi President Saddam Hussein. A hue and cry the like of which has not been heard for many years was unleashed by Britain's politicians and media. War talk became the order of the day, and amid turgid dollops of moralistic rhetoric Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher mobilised British forces to go to the Middle East.

If the whole thing was really Britain's quarrel — like the Falklands conflict, when British territory was attacked — then this would be justified. The nation would then be facing the prospect of human and material losses in its own cause.

But so far, in the present case, it still has to be shown that the Gulf imbroglio is worth the loss of a single British life or a single piece of British war equipment.

True, much high-flown baloney has been forthcoming about the alleged wickedness of Saddam Hussein's 'armed aggression'. Much of this originated with President Bush. Then, like dogs jumping to their master's command, Britain's leaders and her media were feeding the same sort of garbage to the British public.

But whilst this paper has no particular axe to grind on behalf of Saddam Hussein, and while we certainly do not hold with his practice of taking Britons and other westerners hostage to protect himself in the event of western mobilisation, none of the claptrap about 'aggression' amounts to any justification for Britain's going to war.

WHY NO WAR AGAINST ISRAEL IN 1982?

It is worth noting that when in 1982 Israel launched a genocidal military rampage through Lebanon Mrs. Thatcher's Britain and the US (of which Bush was then Vice President) raised barely a bleat of protest. They never even considered mobilising their armed forces to eject the Israeli troops from Lebanese territory.

Meanwhile, as far as the rights and wrongs of 'armed aggression' are concerned, without its frequent use in the past neither America nor Britain would ever have amounted to much in the world. In the last century, President Bush's forebears seized from Mexico the lands that were to become America's south-western states by just such means. In the same period Britain added the finishing touches to the construction of the greatest empire the world had ever seen, and in much the same way: by 'armed aggression'. Had America and Britain not acted like this, the lands they annexed would have remained under the control of peoples far less able to govern and develop them beneficiently. Patriotic Americans and patriotic Britons are justly proud of these achievements.

Mrs. Thatcher has spouted her concern about the need to protect Kuwait's 'sovereignty'. Well, this might be more convincing if she was ready to do the same for Britain's sovereignty. She seems ready to sacrifice British lives for the former while she is equally ready to give the latter away for nothing as she sets about burying this country in the EEC.

No more convincing as an argument for Britain's embroilment in the Gulf is the notion that it is her duty to protect the West's oil supplies. To begin with, still self-sufficient in oil from the North Sea and other domestic sources, Britain has less reason than any other European country to get involved. Yet her involvement is in fact greater than that of any other European country!

IS THE OIL IN DANGER ANYWAY?

There again, is the danger to oil supplies really what it is claimed to be? Anglo-American and other forces are supposedly there to stop an Iraqi invasion of Saudi Arabia and, so we are told, to evict Saddam from Kuwait. But it is hard to believe that Saddam ever seriously envisaged an invasion of Saudi Arabia, which would leave his forces scattered in penny packets across the huge Arabian desert in a way that would just invite attack, especially from the US (with which the Saudis already had military agreements). But even if such an invasion were to happen it is equally hard to see why Britain should go trotting at America's heels over the affair.

In any event, however much territory in the Gulf Saddam is actually after, he wants it precisely for its oil wealth; and that oil wealth is no use to him if he cannot sell the oil. He, like all Middle Eastern oil-producers, is thus necessarily dependent on buyers — to whom he will have to sell at prices dictated by world markets.

From the West's point of view, it is not really as if Kuwait and Saudi Arabia were the only places on earth from which its oil supplies could come. However, even if this were so, there would be no surer way of cutting off such supplies — or at least hugely increasing the price of them — than by fighting a war over the oilfields. The question as to which Arab potentate the West eventually ends up buying the oil from certainly cannot be worth an armed conflict. As it is, the build-up towards war that has already taken place has sent oil prices skyrocketing to the point at which petrol in Britain is likely to hit £2.50 a gallon. Would there have been this increase if Saddam had been allowed to keep Kuwait and its oil?

DANGERS NEARER HOME

In the meantime, as our Premier indulges in 'Iron Lady' postures over Iraq's invasion of Kuwait, issues closer to home go begging for her attention. She is preciously concerned about the Iraqis invading Kuwait but these days she has nothing to say about the Afro-Asian invasion of Britain. The looting of Kuwait by Iraqi troops is condemned, but the mugging of Britons in their own streets is almost ignored.

At the same time there are dark hints from politicians and the press about possible imminent acts of terrorism directed from Baghdad, and the need in that event to take drastic 'counter-measures' — even the 'taking out' of Saddam Hussein himself. But there is no corresponding firmness, least of all ruthlessness, in the response of the Government to the repeated terroristic acts of the IRA. As for the idea that known Irish republican gangsters and killers should be 'picked off' by skilled SAS marksmen — as indeed should be done — such a proposition would be rejected with horror by the Government from Mrs. Thatcher down.

So we have to ask: is Britain being taken for a ride over the latest crisis in the Middle East. Is she being hustled into a fight which is not her own and in interests that are not her interests? If so, it would not be the first occasion in which this has happened in the present century.

READY TO FIGHT FOR WHOM?

STANDING BY: The Royal Navy frigate Battleaxe

READY FOR ACTION IN THE GULF: The American aircraft carrier Independence

THE ZIONIST CONNECTION

How Israel is goading America and Britain to do its hatchet work in the Gulf

CONSTERNATION has been reported from Israel. It seems that America and her allies, including Britain, have not been quick enough to invade Iraq to please the Israeli Government.

According to *Daily Telegraph* correspondent Anton La Guardia in Jerusalem, nothing has caused such alarm among top Israelis as the prospect of a peaceful solution to the Gulf crisis. Indeed, early on Israel's Defence Minister Moshe Arens made what was seen as a veiled demand for an instant attack by western forces on Iraq. Said Arens: "We will be satisfied if there is no spilling of blood. But we will not be satisfied if Saddam Hussein, with the large army and advanced technology he is developing with foreign help, remains at the helm."

Israel, which has itself built up a major arms industry, and even a nuclear weapons capacity, by begging, borrowing and stealing western military secrets and know-how, would be the biggest gainer by far if American and other forces were to do as Arens demands.

Iraq is certainly Israel's best-armed enemy, and Israelis are mortally afraid of Saddam's growing status as a hero among their own Palestinian Arab subjects. But it is less clear why Americans, Britons or anyone else should obey this Israeli call to arms. Israel itself is making no commitment over the crisis, despite the fact that Iraq's destruction is of far greater importance to itself than to anyone else.



READY FOR SADDAM
Israeli soldier on chemical war manoeuvres

WASHINGTON'S JEWISH LOBBY

As is well known, the Israeli lobby in Washington has enormous clout in internal US politics. Congressmen, senators and even presidents are made and unmade by the organised Jewish vote and the Jewish money that finances electoral campaigns, so that few politicians in America have the courage to go against endless Zionist demands for US aid and comfort to Israel, at the American taxpayer's expense.

Not that this is Israel's only channel of influence on US policy. There are also shadowy figures like super-Zionist ex-Secretary of State Henry Kissinger, who, although supposedly long retired, is still listened to with what many look upon as excessive attention by those in office in America. Kissinger's voice was one of the first raised in favour of what he called a "surgical strike" by US forces to eliminate Saddam.

Finally, a recent book by a retired official of the Israeli intelligence service Mossad, Victor Ostrovsky, has given a hint of just how far the US dances to the Israeli tune. Israel contrives to get itself accepted as a US ally. But Ostrovsky reveals in his memoirs, entitled *Way of Deception*, how Mossad nevertheless spies on America. He claims that Mossad agents target aides to senior politiians as information sources, steal technical data, and play a double game in their dealings with the CIA. But seldom are Israeli spies caught, let alone punished.

JEWISH POWER OVER THATCHER

With a dog as big as the United States being wagged like this by the Israeli tail, it would not be surprising to find Britain also getting the same treatment. Our own Prime Minister is widely recognised as largely beholden to the influence of this country's powerful Zionist Jewish lobby for her personal success in politics. She sits in parliament for the heavily Jewish North London constituency of Finchley, and always has been seen as 100 per-cent pro-Israel.

From the very outset of the Gulf crisis she has closely followed the line laid down by the likes of Moshe Arens and Henry Kissinger. Indeed, if the staunchly Thatcherite *Daily Express* is to be believed, she took the lead in prompting a wavering President Bush to mount his rapid mobilisation against Iraq in the first place. It is not impossible that she thereby hoped to remedy her recent political unpopularity at home by reinforcing her links with those who originally made her career. Mobilising Britain's forces in a faraway fight in the Gulf for Israel's sake would indeed be one way of doing this.

Was it an accident that shortly afterwards, as reported in *The Observer* (23.9.90), Mrs. Thatcher's public popularity underwent a dramatic rise as a result of her intervention in the Gulf?

In the meantime, the kind of mayhem the Anglo-American Gulf venture could lead to was further hinted at by the *Telegraph*. Senior Israelis, according to its report, have urged that Saddam should be attacked — without regard for the lives of western hostages in his hands. In their view, priority should be given to what they call "ensuring the stability of the region" by wiping out Iraqi power, so as to leave Israel as undisputed master of the Middle East. America and Britain are of course expected to put this imperative before the safety and survival of their own nationals.

Just now, it remains to be seen whether Mrs. Thatcher and President Bush actually intend to cave in in this way to Israeli wishes.

However, one thing is certain: all the patriotic rhetoric now being spouted by Thatcher & Co. about Britain's involvement in the Gulf simply masks the fact that we are there above all to protect Jewish interests.

Ponderable quote:-

It is certainly not the case that, as the (Amerian) columnist Patrick Buchanan notoriously said, only 'the Israeli Defence Ministry and its amen corner in the United States' want war. But the consequent wild charge of anti-semitism against him for saying so obscures the extent to which it is the Israeli interest in the region that we find ourselves defending. To be sure, the United States, like Egypt, Syria, Turkey and Iran, has its own interest in denying hegemony in the Middle East to any one power. But that consideration, even if in conjunction with our dependence on Gulf oil (whose importance as a strategic factor is over-rated), is not decisive: we might not have come so far down the road to war as we have were it not for Israeli political influence in America.

James Bowman (THE SPECTATOR)

The Guinness affair

GET THESE SHARKS OFF BRITAIN'S BACK!

THE GUINNESS FOUR should have had their come-uppance at Southwark Crown Court in August. Instead, they got wrist-slap sentences of a handful of years each for the multi-million pounds swindle, described as Britain's biggest-ever financial fraud. This is no way to deter the sort of large-scale stock-exchange theft involved, which, especially here in Britain and in the United States, has caused loss to individuals and damage to national economies as a whole.

The four thieves concerned are of a type that Britain can do without. The head conspirator was Ernest Saunders (formerly Ernst Schleyer, whose family chose to settle in this country from Austria before the war). As Managing Director of Guinness, he took the lead in channeling illegal bribes from his company's funds by way of his accomplices. This was part of a corner-cutting scheme to grab control of the Scottish whiskey giant Distillers.

HERE'S TO SUCCESS!
Saunders, seen drinking a symbolic pint before his downfall

Also convicted and jailed were: slick and greasy stock-exchange operator Tony Parnes, said to have been nicknamed 'The Animal' in the City for the manner in which he clawed his way to the top; wheeler-dealing fixer Sir Jack Lyons; and Gerald Ronson, grandson of one-time immigrants to London's East End from Russia.

ARTIFICIAL BOOST TO SHARE VALUE

Saunders used Guinness cash to get his little network of chosen insiders to buy its shares. This artificially pushed up the latter's value so as to make the Guinness bid look attractive to Distillers' shareholders — the sort of ordinary folk on the outside who would have no idea of how the market was actually being rigged.

The ploy worked in the short term. Guinness, a company whose shares looked as if they were on the up and up, seemed good to go in with from the viewpoint of Distillers' investors, and the take-over came off.

But then Guinness shares fell from their artificial high. Saunders' partners-in-crime wanted to cash their chips in by selling their sizeable holdings in the company and collecting the under-the-counter pay-offs earlier promised by him as indemnities against such loss. These included figures such as US share-fraudster Ivan Boesky, later convicted for bribing New York stockbrokers to give him privileged information about forthcoming take-over bids on Wall Street.

Boesky got a ridiculously light sentence. Apart from his informing on his accomplices, he is thought to have impressed the judge at his New York trial by promising he would study to become a Rabbi!

Meanwhile, small shareholders in Guinness suddenly found their investments depreciated.

The scale of the unlawful payments leading to this was to the tune of some £25 million. To cover up what was going on, misleading invoices were issued against the company. Only the vigilance of its finance director laid Saunders and his fellow-crooks by the heels.

PARASITISM

This scam was only one particularly blatant piece of parasitism. But it has been suggested that it may have been only the tip of an iceberg of malpractice in Britain's financial life, especially during the boom time of Thatcherite greed in the 1980s.

Under a healthy economic regime, money would merely be the servant of production, not its master. Nor would it be an independent factor used to make still more money without in the process contributing in the slightest to increasing real national wealth.

What should matter in an economy is the growth in the production of useful goods and services. Finance should be there to oil the wheels of this nationally and socially useful purpose, not to grease the palms of a few shady cosmopolitan financial sharks.

The truly productive entrepreneur and manager earn their profits by useful work. The honest financier is there to back such people up with capital. Apart from anything else, this gives some guarantee to the workers of the nation that they will be securely and gainfully employed.

But the Guinness Four and their ilk care little for either the nation or the workers who make it up. They are quite ready to hold the entire national labour to ransom if there are fast bucks for themselves and their friends from doing so. But, of course such corruption has been encouraged by the whole Thatcherite ideal, which from the first set the nation's industry at nought and made money-grubbing the be-all and end-all of economic activity.

Britain's welfare gained nothing from the City take-over binge into which financial 'enterprise' was directed in the 1980s.

FRIENDS IN HIGH PLACES

Another factor in the Guinness affair came out in the trial.

Saunders and his gang were perhaps all the more grasping and arrogant because of the friends they had cultivated over the years in high places, reaching right to the top. Sir Jack Lyons, for example, got himself a name as an arts patron. In evidence, even the Queen's name was dropped because she had been present at a performance by the London Symphony Orchestra, in which Lyons had ostentatiously invested some of his business profits. Also, Premier Margaret Thatcher had attended lunches with the likes of Saunders and Lyons. Indeed, so confident did Lyons feel of her favour that at the height of the Guinness-Distillers affair he wrote her a private letter asking her to stop a Monopolies Commission investigation into the take-over.

PARNES Known in the City as 'The Animal' for the manner in which he clawed his way to the top

Gerald Ronson had been praised for his contributions to charity. He had made himself known for this within Jewish circles above all, and only days before the trial he had been shaking hands with Prince Charles on the strength of it.

But what really drove all these men was greed.

Meanwhile, even now they seem not altogether to have lost their establishment fairy godfathers. Convicts normally have to serve at least a month or two in a closed prison before being shifted to the much easier and more comfortable open type. But Saunders, Parnes and Ronson were transferred from Brixton jail to the soft open prison of Ford in Sussex within hours. As for Lyons, as a result of a doctor's report on his state of health he was spared being sent to jail at all but was fined £3 million — the payment of which is not exactly likely to put him to any great hardship!

These small facts on their own perhaps now speak as loudly as any words can do about the inner workings of politics and finance in modern Britain. At all events, it may take quite a bit to get the kind of predators involved in the Guinness scandal off Britain's back.

Flats: only Asians need apply!

A HUGE ROW has broken out in Walsall, in the West Midlands, over a new housing scheme which has been launched for the provision of flats exclusively for elderly Asians.

The scheme is being carried out for the Government-funded Caldmore Housing Association charity.

A local businessman, Steve Gouldby, phoned the Walsall Community Relations Council to complain. He said: "I asked what would happen if my elderly father wanted to rent one of the flats. I was told he would not be allowed in."

Housing Association Manager Margo Lambert said that many elderly Asians had to be treated as a group with special needs. And Community Relations officer Ajit Rana said: "Aged Asian people are a separate group in their own right. The law allows for positive discrimination in their favour."

To think that there are still some people who think we are inventing things when we say that British people are being discriminated against in their own land!

When white rule begins to slip

TREASON IN SOUTH AFRICA AND ITS CONSEQUENCES

UNTIL RECENTLY, South Africa was an example to the world of courage, resolution and an apparent will to survive on the part of an embattled white nation. Even now, the forces of racial nationalism are still probably stronger among the population of that country than anywhere else.

But it is no longer these healthy forces that actually RULE the country. In government circles weakness and compromise have been evident for some years. Then more recently, in an unprecedented act of racial treason, the South African state, under President F.W. de Klerk, agreed in principle to sell out lock, stock and barrel to the country's enemies. This was the meaning of the freeing of convicted terrorist Nelson Mandela and the lifting of the ban on the Communist-controlled African National Congress (ANC), of which Mandela is the public figurehead but whose real puppet-master is veteran red, Baltic-Jewish immigrant Joe Slovo.

In consequence, South Africa is starting to become yet another example to the world of what happens in a country when 'liberalism' sets in and white rule decays. Not only are the Whites that have formed the backbone of the nation losing hope but the Blacks are now at each other's throats.

INTER-TRIBAL GENOCIDE

A long time back, inter-tribal genocide was the norm in South Africa, as in the rest of the dark Continent before the Whites arrived. For a time the latter more or less kept the lid on the genocide — until, with the advent of Black African 'freedom' and 'independence' it made a violent comeback.

Now, with white authority apparently collapsing, it has started to rear its ugly head on a large scale once more in South Africa. The Zulus, who have their own tribal organisation called Inkatha, will never accept an ANC-dominated South Africa. They despise all the other tribal groupings in the country as inferiors, especially the Xhosa, to which Mandela and a large part of the ANC's members belong. Formerly, Zulus routinely slaughtered Xhosas like cattle.

Today, from fear of Xhosa domination, the Zulus have resumed their ancient feud Inkatha hit squads, in both the province of Natal and the black townships around Johannesburg, have recently been knifing, shooting, burning to death and otherwise butchering any Xhosa within their reach. The ANC has not been slow to answer in kind when opportunity offers.

The ANC and the western liberal media and politicians, who have beavered away night and day for years to bring down the Whites, have not been slow to accuse the South African police of siding with Inkatha. Since Mandela and his ANC are the darlings of the western liberal establishment, in whose eyes Blacks can in any case do no wrong and Whites no right, it is not surprising to find the blame dealt out in this way.

But even if the allegation were true its own real significance would be as one more measure of how far the White Man's grip has been eroded. At one time Whites would never have made use of Blacks to fight any battles on their behalf. They would simply have asserted their authority directly, upholding order by means of their own strong right arm.

BLACKS NOT SO HAPPY

The world has always been given to understand that South Africa's Blacks stood to gain from the overthrow of the Whites. But now that the latter seems in the process of happening it is the Blacks who are the first to feel the pinch. So much is this so that when the South African Army (SADF) was deployed a while back to protect ANC supporters in Natal from Zulu violence these erstwhile sworn enemies of the white security forces turned out to cheer the troops with shouts of "Viva SADF!" They recognised that these white soldiers were the only power that could save them.

In reality, the present sell-out by South Africa's rulers of its one-time hard-line racial principles was never designed to benefit the mass of the country's Blacks any more than the Whites. It was designed to clinch domination of South Africa by international financiers. The latter have long had a stranglehold over much of the country's economy, especially gold and diamond production. But with the Whites in control of the state the scope of their power has been limited. The ANC and its black mobs in the native townships have merely been the catspaw of the financial monopolists in their grab for total supremacy.

Meanwhile, it is as a part of this process that Pandora's Box has been opened. Black now fights Black over the carcass of western civilisation laboriously built up in South Africa over 3½ centuries. As things are, it cannot be long before resurgent African tribal violence spills out in all directions to engulf the Whites as well.

BLACK VERSUS BLACK
Above is one of the many incidents of violence now taking place in Soweto. Inter-tribal warfare between Blacks is common now that the Whites are seen to be capitulating

MEDIA COVER-UP OF RACE TROUBLE: LATEST CASES

RACIAL DISTURBANCES have been breaking out in many parts of Britain over the past year or two, but these have been played down, and in some cases not even reported at all, by the national news media. We are in no doubt that this is in pursuit of a policy of concealing from the public the true consequences of the multi-racial society that our rulers have foisted on the British people.

In our last issue we drew attention to an appalling riot that took place between Asian and White youths in **Burnley**, Lancs., which had been reported in the local paper but almost completely ignored by the nationals. This month we have information about more outbreaks of race violence in Lancashire, this time in **Bolton** and **Rochdale**. In addition, just before going to press we have heard of more trouble in **Brixton**, South London, which was reported inadequately in some national papers but completely ignored in most.

The Bolton trouble occurred on the night of August 9th, when a pitched battle between an estimated 200 Asian and White youths broke out in the Daubhill area of the town. Two young white brothers, Richard and Anthony Deardon, were walking home after a party when they were subjected to what was described in the *Bolton Evening News* as "a vicious attack with spades, sticks and an axe." It began when, in the words of the newspaper, "they were confronted by two Asian youths." The report went on to say that "A fight broke out and the brothers were chased by a massive gang of Asian youths who appeared from neighbouring houses on Mancroft Avenue."

The young Whites apparently put up a terrific fight and somehow got away, albeit with some nasty injuries. Eventually the police arrived to restore order.

Said one local resident to the paper: "Trouble has been brewing for years but we've been accused of being racist every time we warn the council and police that there'll be an explosion of violence."

As a result of this latest piece of racial mayhem, many local white residents have been demonstrating outside the Bolton Housing Department offices demanding to be relocated in another area.

Down the road in **Rochdale** trouble flared up on Monday, the 3rd September, when Asian and White groups began fighting. According to one resident: "It all started when about 100 Asian youths came down the church steps and ran into the square where the festival (the customary Rochdale town festival) was going on." Said another: "They must have known that all the children would be there with their parents to watch the firework display. They ran into the square 10 minutes before the fireworks were due to be lit. There were women frantically lifting children and pushchairs over the barrier to keep them out of harm's way."

Exactly how the trouble began is uncertain but, according to a report in the *Rochdale Observer*, it involved about the number of Asians stated and about 60 young Whites. One man was stabbed during the fighting, and police said that five Asians had been charged after being caught making petrol bombs. Over 100 police were needed to disperse those involved in the fighting.

Both these disturbances were most certainly worthy of mention as national news, but we are not aware of any such mention, either in the national papers or in TV or radio news reports. We only heard about them through local correspondents.

The trouble in Brixton occurred at a reggae concert at the Academy Theatre. According to one report in *The Sun*: "The violence began when fans without tickets tried to force their way in, smashing doors and windows. A

Contd. at bottom of page 5

Britain's bankrupt economy: WHAT MUST BE DONE

THE CITY
It must be made to serve Britain, not the international financial community

BRITAIN, as everyone knows, led the first industrial revolution. Both at the time of that revolution and since, British inventors have contributed more towards the world's great technical innovations than have those of any other nation.

In addition to this, Britain is blessed with greater natural resources, of the kind needed for industry, than any other country in Europe. As well as our still vast coal reserves, we have the oil of the North Sea — now supplemented by discoveries of further oil reserves elsewhere, both offshore and inland.

With all these things in our favour, there is no earthly reason why our economic development should not be second to none in the world.

That this is not the case, that for so many years we have been in economic decline, that today we are headed for slump and bankruptcy, are things which must therefore be attributed to two factors: totally incompetent economic management by government; and thoroughly bad economic policies.

FAILURE OF THATCHERISM

When Mrs. Thatcher came to office eleven years ago we were told that the grand elixir that was to provide invigoration to the British economy after years of run-down would be the 'free market'. The principle here was that government would sit back and let economic forces and interests battle it out among themselves, with no interference from the top. If this meant British industries being subject to the chill blasts of foreign competition in the British market, if it meant British capital going abroad to finance our competitors, if it meant foreign capital flooding into Britain to buy up our own industries and subject them to foreign control — all this would ultimately be to Britain's good, because it would provide our own economy with the stimulus to perform better. That was the theory at the time.

Now, eleven years on, we have had the chance to assess how things have worked out in practice. In fact, the whole policy has been a disastrous failure. When Thatcher took over there were, admittedly, many British industries that were overmannaed, underproductive and inefficient. Now a great many of those industries have simply disappeared — ruined by imports, or else taken over by foreigners. Like Leyland Trucks. Like Jaguar. Like Westland.

And Britain still lies near the bottom of the productivity league among the advanced nations.

Obviously, there has got to be a change. Not just a few minor cosmetic changes. But a fundamental, radical change. A new industrial revolution in fact.

This new revolution must contain three basic ingredients. It needs more than three, of course, but the three we mention must form the cornerstones. These are:-

(1) EDUCATION FOR INDUSTRY: Britain's education system must be purged and overhauled from top to bottom. Useless subjects must be eliminated. Incompetent teachers must be sacked. And pupils must be taught the basic knowledge and skills necessary for modern industrial capability — like those of Germany and Japan, like those of other other rivals.

Government has **talked** a great deal about this, but so far almost nothing has been done. British education is in an appalling mess. Yet we are eleven years advanced from the time when the present Government took over. Just what has it done to remedy the situation? Almost nothing. This must change.

If it is to change, it is going to involve our having a government with the power and the will to challenge a big vested interest in the educational world, an interest which resists progress, which wants to keep things as they have been for many decades. There is little sign that the Thatcher Government is prepared to do this.

(2) ECONOMIC NATIONALISM: The old international system has failed, and must be replaced by a national system. This means protecting British industries against foreign competition in the home market. It means deciding not to import any goods that we are capable of producing ourselves. That means the entire range of manufactured goods — or at least 99 per-cent of them. By this method millions in Britain can be put back to work, instead of our resources being used to support them on the dole and social security.

BAD TIMES THAT LOOK LIKE RETURNING
The march of the unemployed from Jarrow in the 1930s. More than half a century later, Government still doesn't know how to defend British jobs

This may well mean that for a time we will sustain industries that are not fully efficient and competitive. But we regard this as a lesser evil than having no such industries at all and having to pay millions of able-bodied workers to be idle.

And if people would claim that protected industries cannot be made efficient or competitive we have only to point to the example of the industries of Japan, which are the most efficient and competitive in the world but which are also maintained behind protective barriers, albeit unofficial and sometimes invisible ones. The fact is that the Japs, for whatever reason, buy very little from the outside world in the way of manufactured goods.

(3) FINANCIAL REFORM: There has to be a fundamental and total overhaul of the whole system under which Britain's monetary affairs are conducted. The outflow of new money must be regulated so as to provide the necessary fuel for the economy to flourish and grow. This can only properly be done by government. As the system presently operates, the outflow of money is determined mainly by the banks. The banks, when they make loans, bring new money into existence. This money has to be paid back to the banks with interest. So we cannot be allowed to provide the money that industry needs to get going without paying interest on it.

This is quite preposterous. Interest should certainly be paid on money that has been earned by the banks by legitimate trading and then transferred to the possession of industry in the form of legitimate loans.

But to demand that interest be paid on money that is simply created out of thin air, that is not only crazy — it is aiding and abetting an act of criminal fraud!

The present Chancellor, Mr. John Major, is making it tough for British industry by forcing it to pay excessively high interest-rates on money borrowed — while our competitors abroad can borrow at much lower rates. Why is this? According to Mr. Major and his boss, Maggie Thatcher, it is because we have to stop inflation.

But is this not an admission that bank lending and borrowing come about through the creation by the banks of new money? If banks were lending money already in existence, it would not be inflationary if they merely transferred it from their own possession to the possession of the borrower. The total amount of money circulating in the national economy would remain the same — at least in relation to the actual GNP (Gross National Product). That could not be inflationary.

It becomes inflationary when the amount of money being created **exceeds** the increase in the GNP. That is what has been happening in recent years. But it has only been happening because money issue is undertaken by the banks and not by the Government.

Under the new system, government must take over the function of money issue through a state bank that will not represent any private interest, and it must issue this money **debt-free**, that is at no interest. It should feed that money into the economy as a portion of public expenditure. And it should do so at a rate calculated exactly in accordance with the growth in the GNP, that is the nation's real wealth.

In this way there would be provided the money necessary to oil the wheels of economic growth. Bank lending rates would not have to be kept artficially high to discourage borrowing for fear of inflation — because that borrowing would not lead to inflation in the first place.

Again, government, by assuming this function, would be taking on a vested interest of enormous power. And that is why the present Government (and the Opposition, if it were in office) would never try. It is why the bankers are in control of the economy and government is impotent.

It would need a government of a wholly different calibre and a wholly different order to tackle this powerful interest and carry out this essential reform.

Government, in other words, with the power and the will to **govern**.

Our party, the British National Party, is pledged to work for the setting up in Britain of such a government with such a policy — a policy based, first and foremost, on the three principles of reform that we have mentioned in this article.

We are pledged to this policy because we know it is the only way in which the British economy can eventually recover.

GOVERNMENT MUST GIVE LEAD

One feature that distinguishes our approach to the economy from that of Mrs. Thatcher and the present Tory Government is that we firmly believe it to be the responsibility of government to lead and direct industry in the carrying out of a national policy, not leave industry and commerce to do as they please in a free market. There is of course some role for market forces in our economic life, but that role must not be elevated to the point which it over-rules all else. Industry and commerce, if left entirely to themselves, will pursue their own private interests **only**, and the national interest will go to the wall. The private interest must be allowed to prevail **only to the extent that it complements the national interest and does not harm it.** The judgement of where this borderline should be drawn must always be a judgement exercised by **governmet**.

This does not, as some would claim, mean socialism — or at least not necessarily so. Private enterprise can play its part — probably the predominant part — in regenerating the British economy. But it must be a private enterprise harnessed to the national welfare and made to serve national needs, not private enterprise left to pursue its own selfish purposes in a free-for-all.

And one vital function for government in this policy must be that of mobilising financial institutions to serve the needs of national resources of production. This means, very simply, taming the City, and making the City work for Britain rather than the international financial community. City financial expertise can be a very valuable national asset, but only if it is an expertise channelled into activity from which British industry and commerce — above all British industry — may benefit.

One of the necessary functions in this regard must be that of **directing** British investment so that it feeds productive forces in Britain rather than those of our competitors overseas. And if British capital is concentrated in Britain foreign capital may thereby be kept out of Britain, so that our vital industries do not survive or go under in a way dependent on decisions made in boardrooms far from Britain's shores.

This will be the vital role for economic leadership in the nationalist Britain for which we work. That role, exercised wisely, prudently, and above all patriotically, could make this country a world leader — instead of a world duffer — in the 21st century.

New deal with Japs promises further flood of car imports into this country

NOT CONTENT with the present rate at which Japanese cars are flooding into Britain to the detriment of our own producers, the Thatcher Government is about to give its agreement to a new deal whereby the flood will increase yet further.

In a report in the *Sunday Times* of the 23rd September it was stated that the European Commission is likely to give its consent to an easing of present import restrictions, which could save buyers up to £2,000 on a typical Japanese saloon.

"The move," said the report, "signals an end to a voluntary quota system that has protected British manufacturers since the mid-1970s. Under the current system the Japanese are allowed to compete for about 11 per-cent of the British car market; in France and Italy the limit is 3 per-cent. The new limit for Britain is expected to be 29 per-cent..."

From the standpoint of European, and especially British, car manufacturers this deal is sheer insanity. It re-opens the question, which we have often asked before, of whether the designs of the Eurocrats are in the slightest way connected with serving the interests of the nations or industries of Europe — as they are always assuring us is the case.

It needs hardly be stated that this deal will be almost wholly one-sided. No reciprocal concessions will be offered by the Japanese to British or other European car exporters. In fact the Japs permit only a tiny portion of the cars sold in their country to be foreign-made — just enough to counter the claim that they ban car imports but nowhere near enough to allow any real threat to their own car industry.

This latest deal could in fact spell the death of what remains of Britain's car industry. It should be rejected by all British car workers.



THE DAGGER AIMED AT BRITISH INDUSTRY
Toyota cars lined up at a wharf. Our Government's weak-kneed response to the Jap invasion has resulted in the near-ruin of the British motor industry

OUR VIEW OF THE LATEST TERROR BOMB ESCAPE

Incompetence beyond belief

AN AMAZING SERIES of security blunders last month almost caused Britain's top policeman, along with a government minister and an international expert on the subject in hand, to be blown up by a terrorist bomb planted in a conference hall in London. And what was the subject in hand?

Believe it or not, Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police Sir Peter Imbert, Foreign Office Minister William Waldegrave and former intelligence officer and British Ambassador to Norway Frank Brenchley were at the meeting to adorn the speakers' platform at a seminar on — **terrorism**!

The bomb, planted by the IRA inside a lunchbox, was found accidently by a workman. It had been placed under the speaker's lectern.

Clueless Commander George Churchill-Coleman, head of Scotland Yard's Anti-Terrorist Squad, found himself at something of a loss to explain how a would-be bomber could have found it so easy to stroll into the hall, plant the device and walk calmly out again without any challenge.

It later emerged, however, that no officer from his squad had been present early in the morning to search the building. This had been left to policemen from the local station. After that, only one PC had been left to guard the front door, while a steady stream of visitors came and went through a back exit. Once inside, the planter of the bomb would have found no-one at all keeping the hall itself under surveillance.

Also, an hour and a half had been allowed to go by between the police search and the arrival of a private security firm, which had the job of checking the credentials of the hundred or so delegates from all over the world who had come to hear Mr. Brenchley, now Chairman of Britain's Institute for the Study of Conflict.

THE PREOCCUPATIONS OF SIR PETER IMBERT

But the Met's Keystone Cops performance is not to be put down wholly to Mr. Churchill-Coleman. His own boss, Sir Peter Imbert, who himself came within an ace of a free one-way trip to Kingdom Come as a result of his officers' blunders on this occasion, may in some ways have set the scene for such things. For, according to Sir Peter, there are matters more important for his force to concern itself with than the bombing of mere Britons and their guests from abroad by the likes of the IRA.

On first becoming Commissioner in 1987, Mr. Imbert (as he then was) gave it to be understood that first place in policing London under his reign would be given to protecting ethnic minorities from the so-called 'racial harassment' he said they were suffering at the hands of the British host population. Amid the ongoing epidemic of muggings, rapes, riots and other violent crimes in the capital, many people thought at the time that the new Scotland Yard chief's priorities had gone somewhat awry.

Even now, little seems to have changed. In May of this year, Sir Peter, the Thatcher Government's carefully chosen appointee to the post, gave pride of place in a new pledge about the policing of London to stamping out alleged 'race attacks' and, as the other side of the coin, to recruiting more minority members to the Metropolitan force — even if it meant having to adjust standards especially to accommodate them.

All this is said to reflect Sir Peter's "personal abhorrence" of racism. At the time of his promotion to head the Met he claimed to be pleased that a couple of his close female relatives were married to Blacks.

Meanwhile, Ian Geldard, editor of *Terrorism Update* and one of those almost blown to smithereens at the London conference, remarked that "the level of terrorism in Britain is now worse than in any other European country." With the minds of some of those currently charged by their political masters with policing the country apparently focused on other matters that they seem to regard as more important, it is not altogether surprising that more and more people in Britain are finding themselves in the line of fire of the IRA and its ilk.

Vicar in BNP leaflet shock

IN a flamboyant public performance Salford vicar Geoff Howard recently went to his local newspaper with a truly shocking horror story. It seems that he'd just received a British National Party leaflet through his letter box!

Earlier, Mr. Howard, who is Salford area Dean, had condemned "right-wing extremists" in his 'Thought for the Week' column in the same paper, the Advertiser, *in which he had related how he had torn up a Union Jack sticker in his anger against the nationalist message.*



SHOCK, HORROR, GASP!
The Rev. Howard holds up the leaflet for the press camera

It seems that what particularly got Mr. Howard's dander up with the BNP leaflet was that it condemned the way in which Britons, especially the young, are being reduced to second-class citizens in their own country. Also, much to his chagrin, he was rudely surprised to find that not all local people saw eye-to-eye with him over his own anti-racist views. Mr. Howard indignantly referred to one letter he had received expressing surprise at what the writer called his "virulent attack on the British National Party," and pointing out that it is in fact the BNP that is fighting "the forces of evil" in modern Britain, and that as a man of the cloth he should support it rather than oppose it.

It appeared that he was soon having some second thoughts about the wisdom of camping it up too prominently on his anti-racist hobby-horse. Whilst claiming that he had "no regrets" over publishing his attack on local "fascists," he said of the publicity that he had given the British National Party: "I just hope it hasn't done more harm than good."

But as far as the BNP is concerned the Rev. Geoff is doing just fine. And remember, all you BNP activists out ther, there are many more vicars just like him from one end of Britain to the other



SECURITY COCK-UP
The hall shortly before the bomb was found under the speaker's lectern (on left)

Oops! — How did that book get there?



A reader recently sent us the photo on the right, which comes from a feature in* Woman's Realm *magazine on Chancellor of the Exchequer John Major. In the photo Mr. Major is pictured in his study at home with his wife by his side, and behind the two are some shelves of books.

And guess what book appears just above Mrs. Major's right shoulder — believe it or not, it's John Tyndall's* The Eleventh Hour*!

We kid you not. If the book is not definitely recognisable in this black-and-white reproduction of the photo, it is in the coloured original. "Better put that book out of sight, John," Maggie Thatcher would no doubt say if she were to visit the Major homestead.

WHY MUST BRITISH FILMS BE AMERICANISED?

EVERYONE is familiar with the British war film into which an American actor is inserted in order to give the picture greater box-office appeal in the United States. *The Guns of Navarone*, starring Gregory Peck in the leading role, was a typical example.

Now this nonsense has gone a stage further. The British film-producer David Puttnam wanted to make a movie about the Lancaster bomber crews who risked their lives and sustained great losses when flying over German-occupied Europe in World War II. But he couldn't raise the money in this country and had to go to the States instead.

He got his money. But the condition was that the film was about AMERICAN bomber crews and not British ones. The title of the film became *The Memphis Belle*, and the heroes were the crews of Flying Fortresses rather than those of Lancasters.

No-one would wish to deny that American servicemen also risked their lives in the last war and were, in some cases at least, heroes.

But they should be heroes for Americans to look up to; our people should be encouraged to revere BRITISH heroes.

It is therefore appalling that the British film industry is allowed to get into such straits that it has to prostitute itself to American money to make films. Mr. Puttnam should have been able to raise the money for his picture here in Britain, and the film should have been about our own airmen in World War II — have you ever heard of an American film about British fighting men in the war?

This unhappy tale is just one more example of what happens when such important things as the film industry — with its enormous power to influence people and nurture, or undermine, patriotism — is left in the hands of 'market forces'.

HEROES — BUT NOT BRITISH HEROES
The crew of the Memphis Belle, seen celebrating in the film

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — The following appeared on the 'Coffee Break Page' of the *Daily Mail* on the 10th September, in which a series of headlines and snippets of reports from former years were reprinted:-

One item from 1952 headed 'Longer queue' said: "The number of unemployed on August 11th was 403,600, says the Ministry of Labour. There were 77,700 temporarily stopped, a drop of 23,400 in a month, but the number of wholly unemployed increased by 35,500, most being school-leavers."

This — at a time when the Government (Tory) and employers were claiming that there was a shortage of labour. The Government, with the wholehearted support of the opposition parties, sought to remedy this so-called 'shortage' by importing cheap labour from the Third World, these coming mainly from the West Indies and the Indian subcontinent. Today, with over 1½ million officially unemployed and the figure again rising, we again hear the Government and employers intimate that there is a growing shortage of labour. So why does the Government, along with industry and the trade unions, not solve this problem by working together to integrate our unemployed into the labour force, giving them the necessary training where required?

This would be the commonsense approach, and I am sure the approach of a BNP Government. But of course we cannot expect such a straightforward solution from the parties of 'liberal democracy', which will again seek to solve the problem of the so-called 'labour shortage' by bringing in workers from the Third World to undercut the British worker in terms of wages.

And the trade union leaders will no doubt support this in the name of the 'brotherhood of man' — despite its being detrimental to the interests of the majority of their members (whom they should not forget are also their 'employers').

D. ELLIS
London W.5

Sir, — Regarding the article that appeared in *British Nationalist* August/September issue on the Rowntree Foundation report, 'Millions more on the way?' — over the last year or so in London we have seen a new and large influx of Kurdish immigrants. These are so-called 'asylum-seekers' and are the latest to join the queue to get into this country.

If the recommendations of the Rowntree report are adopted as government policy (which seems likely), we the indigenous majority of Britain are soon going to have no country left to call our own!

T. COLLINS
London N.16

MEDIA COVER-UP OF RACE TROUBLE

(Contd. from page 3)

witness said: 'It's absolute chaos in there. Several people have let off CS gas.' "

As the concert turned into a full-scale riot one man was shot. As the gunman fled from the theatre police chased him and themselves came under fire, fortunately with no-one hit. Police, however, did nothing to stop the concert. Said one officer: "We have let the concert go on because there could be trouble if we tried to stop it."

One terrified reggae fan was reported as saying that the Yardies (West Indian gangsters) were responsible for the evening's trouble.

A shooting at a 'pop' concert, followed by further shots at police, again merit blanket national news coverage. The disorder and shootings were in fact reported in *The Sun*, as stated, and also in the *Daily Express* and *Daily Mail*, in no cases prominently. We scanned all the other papers and found no mention of the trouble whatsoever. Our enquiries have also established that it was ignored on national TV news broadcasts.

The failure of the news media to give adequate reporting to this series of outbreaks of racial violence all over Britain is a scandal of the first magnitude. It is quite clear, as we have said earlier, that the purpose is to conceal from the public the disastrous effects of multi-racialism. Our news, in other words, is being **censored**. And this is Britain — in 1990. The country whose rulers love to proclaim to the world that it stands for 'freedom of the press' and other such fantasies.

British Nationalist will continue to bring the facts of these racial disturbances to the public as we hear of them. We will not be gagged in these matters — even if the main news media are!

BOLTON: WHITES PROTEST
These are local residents outside the offices of the town's Housing Department, demanding to be given new homes, away from areas of racial mayhem

SPREADING THE WORD

Items available from the BNP Bookshop

154 Upper Wickham Lane
Welling
Kent DA16 3DP
Telephone: 081 316 4721

Open Tuesdays to Fridays, 10 a.m. to 5.30 p.m.

NEW STICKERS

Oblong self-adhesive sticker with slogan: 'Stop immigration! Start repatriation!' in red on white background. For 80 stickers: £1 plus 18p p&p. For 500 stickers: £6 plus 50p p&p. For 1,000 stickers: £12 plus 95p p&p.

Circular self-adhesive sticker in red, white and blue with BNP logo and name and address. Prices same as with immigration sticker.

VIDEO & SOUND RECORDINGS

RALLY '89

Video-recording of the British National Party rally in London, October 14th 1989

Hear:-
MARK WILSON (Chairman)
JOHN PEACOCK
TONY MORGAN
GUS McLEOD
DAVID BRUCE
HARRY MULLIN
RICHARD EDMONDS
JOHN TYNDALL

BNP Recordings, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

***RALLY '89*: Video-recording of the highly successful BNP rally in London on October 14th last year. £16 plus 91p p&p.**

***RALLY '82*: Sound recording of the BNP rally in London on October 16th 1982. Speeches by John Tyndall and Charles Parker.**

***TYNDALL SPEAKS II*: Sound recording of two studio talks by John Tyndall: (1) 'The case for nationalism'; (2) 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (about World War II).**

***TYNDALL SPEAKS III*: Sound records of two studio talks by John Tyndall: (1) Why we must repatriate; (2) Foundations of the national community.**

Sound recordings £3.50 plus 28p p&p





BOOKS



***THE ELEVENTH HOUR* (by John Tyndall). Written when the BNP leader was in prison on the charge of publishing material likely to stir up 'race hatred', this book is the one all nationalists have been waiting for. The book is in two parts. The first part describes the author's early years and introduction to, and progress through, the nationalist movement. Following this is the most comprehensive presentation yet to appear of the case for British Nationalism. 609 pages. Paperback £10 plus £1.95 p&p. Hardback £15 plus £2.40 p&p.**



***THE NAMELESS WAR* (by Capt. A.H.M. Ramsey). A British member of parliament describes the secret history leading up to the Second World War. Despite being an MP, Capt. Ramsey was jailed without trial for four years for opposing Churchill's war policy. 128 pages. £3.25 plus 42p p&p**

B.N.P. STICKERS



OUTLAW HOMOSEXUALITY!

Protect our women and old folk STAMP OUT MUGGERS!



Fight subversion SMASH COMMUNISM!

LOVE THE WHITE RACE Protect its future!



Save our cities from this (riot)... START REPATRIATION!

As more unemployment rolls off the boats, we say... BAN IMPORTS!



Protect our young from child murderers... BRING BACK THE ROPE!

Stickers measure 3½in x 2½in. Self-adhesive at £1.50 per 100; gummed back at 40p per 100. Postage costs 26p per 100.

Scrap the Anglo-Irish Agreement KEEP ULSTER BRITISH!



ABORTION IS CHILD MURDER Make it illegal!


Free speech is under attack —
DEFEND IT!
The Government is trying to suppress criticism of its open-door immigration policy by prosecuting those who speak out. Help us fight this tyranny! Give us your support!
BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY
BNP

Free speech is under attack — DEFEND IT! Mentions prosecutions and bans against nationalists



Support Ulster Smash terrorism HANG I.R.A. MURDERERS!

Oppose the disarmers Build up our forces MAKE BRITAIN STRONG

CND are Moscow's puppets Don't be fooled by them KEEP BRITAIN'S BOMB!



She freezes in Winter while Third World gets £1,000 millior a year PUT BRITISH PEOPLE BEFORE ALIENS!

T-SHIRTS & POSTERS

FIGHTING for race+nation

Get this exciting new black-on-white design T-shirt or A2-size poster. T-shirts only £5 plus 28p p&p. Posters £1.50 inc. p&p. Send cheques or POs to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. If ordering T-shirt, remember to state shirt size.

Growth in Scotland continues

SCOTLAND continues to be the focal point of a great deal of activity on the part of the British National Party. On Saturday, August 18th and Sunday, August 26th inaugural meetings were held to launch new units in **Greenock** and **Paislev** respectively. At Greenock a crowd of nearly 60 gathered in the hall to hear speeches by Harry Mullin, Stephen Cartwright and Tom Toye. Subjects included 'The way forward for the BNP in Scotland' and 'The Zionist influence in Scotland'. Calum MacFarlane, the Greenock Organiser, was in the chair.

A splendid collection raised money to open up a PO Box in Greencock.

At the Paisley meeting 50 attended and heard speeches by Harry Mullin and Eric Brand (home on a brief holiday), with Jim Whyte as chairman. The meeting, coupled with some recent media publicity, firmly established the Paisley group as another of the several dedicated, hard-working party units north of the border. Plans are now underway for meetings in October to inaugurate new units in **Cumbernauld** and **Ayr**.

With the recent death of the Paisley North MP a by-election is due in the town. The BNP group is hoping to mount a vigorous "Don't vote for traitors!" campaign — as a preliminary, of course, to the time when the party is strong enough locally to put up its own candidate.

Meanwhile, over in **Dundee** the party continues to hit local headlines. Much of its publicity has resulted from the ludicrous antics of an organisation calling itself the 'Tayside Asian Action Group', which recently produced a report on what it terms 'fascist' activity in Dundee — warning that "those parading under the banner of the British National Party will not go away if ignored."

To which the reply might be given that the BNP in Dundee is not going to go away — full stop!

The group held a rally in the city earlier this year to try and organise local ethnic minority groups and assorted left-wingers in opposition to the BNP. In the forefront are gentlemen with the good old Scottish names of Dr. Albert Jacob and Mr. J.P. Hazra.

As part of its effort to discredit the BNP the group has put out a vicious spate of lies and inventions concerning the party's activities in the area, which were faithfully reported in the Dundee *Courier*, the main local paper. The report featured lurid tales of 'racial harassment' and 'intimidation' against Dr. Jacob. In reply local BNP Chairman Ian Christie sent out a press statement in which he challenged the Doctor to produce a shred of evidence to support his acusations, and also challenging him to a public debate at a time and venue of his own choice. Not surprisingly, the Doctor did not take up the offer!

During September one of the very pleasurable events of the month was a fine speech by Mr. Kirk Lyons, an American visitor from Texas who had come over to Scotland on a holiday and who was guest speaker at a meeting of the Glasgow Branch. An audience of about 70 heard Mr. Lyons report on the political situation in the United States. Mr. Lyons is a leading member of the Populist Party back Stateside.

In conclusion to this Scottish report, we should take the opportunity to inform all readers that this year's St. Andrew's Day rally will be held in **Glasgow** in the afternoon of Saturday, December 8th. For more details keep in touch with Glasgow Branch at PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the main units:-

NORTH WEST LONDON
BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

HILLINGDON
PO Box 275, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB10 8XU

SOUTH WEST LONDON
BCM Box 5103, London WC1N 3XX

SOUTH EAST LONDON
PO Box 316, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

BARKING & DAGENHAM
PO Box 12, Dagenham, Essex RM10 7HE

EPPING FOREST
PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10, 2DN

WEST KENT
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Ashford TN26 3QP

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

MILTON KEYNES
PO Box 311, Bradwell Common, Milton Keynes, Bucks. MK13 8YZ

DEVON
PO Box 1, Torrington EX38 8HQ

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

NORTH WALES
PO Box 3, Llangefni, Anglesey L77 7UY

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

LEICESTER
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

PETERBOROUGH
PO Box 211, Peterborough, Cambs. PE3 8JE

NOTTINGHAM
PO Box 2, Carlton, Nottingham NG4 3EQ

WEST NOTTS.
PO Box 5, Eastwood, Notts. NG16 3RN

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

LEEDS & BRADFORD
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

HUDDERSFIELD
PO Box A43, Huddersfield HD1 4NN

WAKEFIELD
PO Box 101, Wakefield WF2 9XY

DARLINGTON
PO Box 61, Darlington, Co. Durham DL3 9RD

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

EDINBURGH
PO Box 719, NWDO, Edinburgh EH4 2SR

GLASGOW
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

PAISLEY
PO Box 72, Paisley, Renf. PA2 0BH

DUNDEE
PO Box 161, Dundee DD1 9YR

The BNP also has units in Richmond-on-Thames, Hounslow, Newmarket, Colchester, Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Reading, Bedford, Stourbridge, Newark, Mansfield, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Burnley, Blackpool, Halifax, Dewsbury, York, Teesside, Sunderland, Stirling & Alloa, Greenock, Ayr, Cumbernauld, Aberdeen and Inverness. If you want to contact any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

OBITUARY

TOM THORNTON

It was with very great sadness that we heard, just before going to press, of the death of Leeds BNP activist Tom Thornton. Tom in fact died of a heart attack while on party duty. He had been one of a team of leafletters out in the streets of Leeds when he suddenly complained of feeling unwell, and said he would rest in his car for a few minutes while the others carried on. When his friends returned they found him being attended by a nurse. He was rushed to hospital but died.

Tom Thornton was a Glaswegian Scot who had moved to Leeds. A year or so back he joined the BNP after having previously been a member of the National Front. He soon became a well-liked and valuable activist and before his death was starting to come to prominence in the BNP in Yorkshire. At the Manchester seminar, reported elsewhere in this issue, he was busy taking photographs and seemed full of energy.

Tom Thornton will be very much missed by his BNP comrades. We extend our deepest sympathies to his lady friend Leslie in her tragic loss.

HISTORIAN SPEAKS AT LEEDS

YORKSHIRE REGION of the British National Party played host last month to historian David Irving, who gave a highly interesting talk to an assembly of nationalists in Leeds.

Mr. Irving spoke at length on the events of World War II, on Churchill and on the folly of the war leader's policy, which ruined Europe and helped to demolish the British Empire. Later in his talk he dealt with current events, strongly condemning multi-racialism.

A lively talk was also given by John Wood. About 80 were in attendance.

Leeds: David Irving speaks

BNP takes to the streets to beat meeting ban

THE RESOURCEFULNESS and determination of British National Party leaders and activists was put to the test in Manchester last month when the party, driven out of the hotel room that it had booked for a northern seminar, finished the seminar in the streets outside, to everybody's great satisfaction.

The BNP faces an almost total meeting hall ban by nearly every town and city in Britain. Manchester, though quite willing to allow council-owned premises to IRA supporters, will in no way grant the same rights to British patriots. As a result, local Organiser Alan Payne, when asked to obtain a place for the meeting, did not even waste time asking the local authority. Instead he obtained a booking of a room in a local hotel using a name which did not disclose the party's identity as the hirers.

Somehow, however, information that it was the BNP got to the hotel management and within a few days of the seminar the booking was cancelled.

Undaunted, and half expecting this, Mr. Payne booked a room in another hotel, also under an *alias*. This was not 'rumbled' in advance.

Party members had been instructed to assemble at a rendezvous point in Manchester, from where it was intended to direct them to the meeting place. When this happened, they were quite clearly followed and the location noted.

After the seminar had been proceeding for about half an hour a representative of the hotel management staff entered and told the organisers of the meeting that they had received a 'bomb threat' and that in consequence they were asking the BNP to leave. He was curtly told that the BNP intended to stay, as it had made a booking and paid the fee and intended to have its meeting.

A little while later the police arrived and threatened the organisers with arrest if they did not leave the building. As this would have totally disrupted the seminar, they complied.

Anticipating that this might happen, the organisers had conceived a plan to find an open space nearby and finish the seminar there. This is exactly what happened. A back street was found not far away. The weather at this stage was most un-Manchester-like, and in bright sunshine business was carried on. Short talks were given by Richard Edmonds, David Bruce and Tony Wells, after John Tyndall had started the seminar with a few opening words in the hotel before the ejection.

After a while, however, the local climate struck back with a vengeance and the rain began to come down heavily. Fortunately, a large and wide railway bridge was situated about 200 yards down the road, and the party transferred its meeting to beneath the bridge, where the seminar was concluded without anyone getting too wet.

Though things would have been somewhat more comfortable and convenient had the original hotel room been retained, the day's experiences in the event only made everyone more determined. "We mustn't let the bastards beat us" was a typical reaction from one of those present.

We noticed a small red presence in the vicinity of the rendezvous point, with one bunch coming by in a car and taking photos. It was the opinion of most of those involved in the organisation of the event, however, that the sabotage of the hotel room booking bore all the marks of a job by Special Branch, whom the Government assigns to the task of making things as difficult as possible for patriotic organisations. Anyhow, on the day they did not succeed in stopping us — nor will they in the future!

INCONVENIENCED BUT NOT BEATEN
BNP seminar in Manchester continues in the street after the party had been ejected from a hotel room following a bomb-threat
Photo by Tom Thornton

WE'RE BACK!

(Continued from front page)

what has to be achieved for the party to begin to get candidates elected.

But after the long 'winter of discontent' of the 1980s, in which nationalists everywhere were getting hammered into the ground in both parliamentary and local government elections, this latest result marks a big breakthrough in the fight back to win large-scale popular support. In fact Ken Walsh would have scored more highly still had not many voters in his ward supported the Liberal Democrats in the hope (vain, as it transpired) of keeping Labour out. Also there was the 'ethnic' factor. With a large Asian population in the area the BNP was compelled from the very start to write off a great number of votes. In the estimation of local Organiser Eddy Butler, Ken Walsh in fact received about 16-17 per-cent of the votes of the white electorate in the area.

POLITICS A QUESTION OF CREDIBILITY

Success, as everyone knows in politics, breeds success. As the BNP is now seen to be in elections with a chance, whereas throughout the eighties it had no chance, more and more people are likely to vote for its candidates. Politics are all about **credibility**. When you are getting joke results in elections, however attractive your policies, few people want to vote for you; but when you start to show your vote is edging up to a respectable figure all sorts of possibilities for increase start to present themselves.

It is early days to say that a great nationalist resurgence in Britain is certain. But what the achievements of the BNP, through Ken Walsh and Steve Smith, have done is make such a resurgence look **genuinely possible** — and for the first time for over a decade.

They have also done something more. They have sent out a signal that, if any party stands a chance of making such a resurgence a reality, that party is the **British National Party** — for no other nationalist group has shown anything like comparable results.

So we say: Well done Ken Walsh, and well done Steve Smith! Also, well done Tower Hamlets Branch! As so often in the past, London's East End has given a lead to the rest of the country. Now let nationalists everywhere follow this fine example!

GOOD DAY IN THE MIDLANDS

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY activists enjoyed a successful day in the West Midlands on September 22nd, with an open-air rally in the centre of Wolverhampton and an indoor meeting later in the afternoon in a pub near Dudley.

The rally was addressed by John Tyndall and East Midlands Organiser John Peacock, with Birmingham Organiser Keith Axon chairing. The party had elected to hold the meeting outdoors following a refusal by Wolverhampton Council to allow it the hire of a meeting hall in the town.

The rally was preceded by a great deal of advance publicity, due to blood-curdling threats by the left-wing opposition to turn up in force and stop it taking place. On the day only a pathetic 25-30 reds plucked up the courage to come. Facing nearly a hundred BNP supporters, they wisely stayed away from the meeting site.

The police used their powers under the Public Order Act to limit the duration of the rally to half an hour. After it had concluded, the BNP party made their way in several vehicles to a village near Dudley a few miles away, where they held a very useful indoor meeting, again with John Tyndall and John Peacock speaking. This meeting, for members and supporters only, was devoted mainly to internal party matters and concentrated on the tasks of promoting the BNP in the Midlands. By general agreement, this proved to be a most useful session.

The Wolverhampton rally was reported afterwards in the local *Express & Star* newspaper, which showed a picture of the red demonstrators, whose number it inflated to 100. At the same time it made the ludicrous claim that the BNP contingent amounted only to seven! This figure was no doubt taken from a gathering of about that number that had originally been seen at the rendezvous point in the town before the main bulk of the party's supporters arrived. Wishful thinking by the press, as usual!

OUTDOOR RALLY IN WOLVERHAMPTON
John Peacock speaks
Photo by Richard Fawcus

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY ANNUAL RALLY

CENTRAL LONDON

Saturday, October 13th, in the afternoon

Assemble for redirection: outside Friends House, Euston Road, 1-2 p.m.

Speakers will include: JOHN TYNDALL, RICHARD EDMONDS, DAVID BRUCE & others

And this year's special guest-speaker from Germany:-

MANFRED ROEDER

We remind everyone that this event is not a BNP-members-only one; nationalists of all party affiliations and of none will be welcome. The party only reserves the right to exclude particular persons whose presence at the meeting may be thought to be prejudicial to good order. This would of course include all anti-nationalist elements.

The rally will be followed by an evening social, with details to be announced on the day

BNP active in Mansfield and Lincoln

FOLLOWING ON from the meeting in **Newark** to launch the British National Party unit in the town, a successful meeting was held last month just down the road in **Mansfield**.

When the brewery discovered to its horror that the BNP had booked a meeting room in its own name for Thursday, September 20th at the Brown Cow in Mansfield, it immediately imposed a ban on the party by cancelling the booking — such is the panic resulting from media lies and threats by Marxist criminals.

However, an alternative venue was found at short notice and the meeting was held in an atmosphere of enthusiasm and triumph.

John Wood and John Peacock spoke at the meeting with Colin Chapman in the chair.

In addition to the Mansfield meeting, activists from the East Midlands Region of the BNP held a highly successful day of action in **Lincoln** on Saturday, September 15th.

During the morning, in the main High Street shopping precinct, party members held the first paper-sale in the city since the 1970s. The public response was most favourable and many copies of *British Nationalist* were sold to enthusiastic shoppers.

During the afternoon leaflets were distributed door-to-door, and party activists were justifiably pleased with the day's work. More days of action in Lincoln are planned for the future.

East Midlands BNP is certainly setting a fine example in the task of sending out active teams to spread the party message in new areas where there have not previously been party units. Teams from London have been doing the same further south. In some parts of the country, however, this kind of operation could with profit be stepped up. It almost always pays off!

PAPER SALE IN LINCOLN
East Midlands Organiser John Peacock is fourth from right

Thank you for photos

We take this opportunity to thank all those who send us photographs of BNP events and activities. Obviously, we can only print a small fraction of those we receive, but the unused ones are nevertheless greatly appreciated. Please keep sending them in!

NEW BUILDING FUND: £122.00 NEEDED

Contributions to the New Building Fund since our last issue total £253.50, most of which came in in August. September's tally was poor.

We now need to raise just £122.00 to reach our target. Let's try and do it this month!

£5,000
£4,000
£3,000
£2,000
£1,000

Please send contributions to The New Building Fund, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please give generously!

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist politics, read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 60p. Yearly subscription £11.00. 87p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 25p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers' order **only** in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.50 + 79p post
25 copies £5.50 + £2.52 post
50 copies £10.00 + £3.15 post
100 copies £17.50 + £3.60 post
150 copies £25.00 + £4.15 post
200 copies £30.00 + £4.80 post
300 copies £42.00 + £6.05 post
400 copies £55.00 + £6.05 post
500 copies £65.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £125.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

STAND BY TO HELP THESE MEN!

ON OCTOBER 1st, after this issue goes to the printers but before it will reach the reader, six Glasgow BNP members and supporters will be appearing in court as a result of an affray that took place in the city back in February.

The incident followed one only a day previously, when Glasgow BNP member Norman Murray was subjected to a vicious and totally unprovoked attack by a gang of Socialist Worker's Party supporters at a local railway station, where he was battered and left unconscious.

The BNP branch learned that the SWP was scheduled to hold a meeting in the city the very next evening. The branch hastily assembled a body of men to go to the meeting with the intention of expressing displeasure at the beating up of their colleague. With time, a good number could have been assembled, but at such short notice it was only possible to contact 11 members. These proceeded to the pub where the SWP was holding its meeting. The crowd inside, nearly 100 strong, learned of the BNP presence and, confident of a vast superiority in numbers, they rushed out to attack the BNP men.

The latter stood their ground, however, and gave the reds such a thrashing that, despite their greater numbers, they retreated in fright back into the pub.

By this time police had arrived and some BNP members were arrested and charged, one of the charges resulting from an SWP supporter being picked up bodily and hurled head-first through the windscreen of a nearby car!

We do not know at this stage whether this charge is based on fact, but we should observe that after the savage treatment of Norman Murray the day before the SWP mobsters should not expect to be treated with friendliness and politeness!

Facing charges in court are Eric Brand, Ian McMillan, Brian Ross, Craig Chapman, Derek Stephenson and Norman Murray himself. The BNP men will reply to the charges by insisting that they were attacked first and only acted in self-defence. However, knowing the bias that nationalists frequently encounter in the courts it is possible that some fines may be incurred.

Should this happen, we believe it is the duty of party supporters all over the country to rally to the help of the Glasgow lads with donations. These men are fighting today's 'Battle of Britain' in the streets of our cities and towns. They are in the front line against the nation's enemies, imported and home-grown. They deserve **your** help!

We will give an up-to-date report on the case in our next issue.

Vehicle Fund

Contributions to the BNP Vehicle Fund since our last issue total £234.00. This leaves us with £561.00 still to raise.

The fund is to raise money to pay for the purchase of a new motor van for BNP Headquarters. The van was bought with a loan of £1,200 from two London branches, which must be repaid. If just 47 supporters gave £12 each the target figure could be achieved and the fund closed. How about it readers?

What we stand for

- The establishment of a government of national unity that will put an end to nationally-divisive party warfare; the return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs
- A end to immigration and a start to repatriation
- British withdrawal from the Common Market
- The disbanding of the multi-racial Commonwealth and its replacement by a new Commonwealth of self-governing white states
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain
- An end to the financial swindle that causes inflation and slump, and its replacement by a sane and fair financial system that will give the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- The subordination of the power of the City to the power of government, and the harnessing of the City to the needs of British industry
- The regeneration of British farming with the object of achieving the maximum possible self-sufficiency in food production
- A healthy environment for a healthy people — the protection of our countryside and an end to the architectural disfigurement of our towns and cities
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- An alternative defence strategy: withdrawal from NATO, closure of all foreign military and air bases in Britain, the strengthening of Britain's defences and a position of armed neutrality
- A end to overseas aid and the allocation of the money saved to the financing of repatriation and greater help for the needy at home
- The crushing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- Repeal of the laws permitting homosexuality and abortion (except where the latter is the result of rape or necessary on medical grounds), the wiping out of AIDS and a return to healthy moral values aimed at strengthening the family and community

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
(Tick appropriate box):-

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose the yearly fee of £12 (half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

.....

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £5.40 for 12 issues to subscribers in the British Isles and £7.40 (unsealed surface-mail) to subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign-currency cheques and POs and an additional £2.50 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

.....

Printed & published by BN Press, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW